REFACTORIZATION OF A VARIABLE STEP, UNCONDITIONALLY STABLE METHOD OF DAHLQUIST, LINIGER AND NEVANLINNA

WILLIAM LAYTON*, WENLONG PEI[†], AND CATALIN TRENCHEA[‡]

Abstract. The one-leg, two-step time-stepping scheme proposed by Dahlquist, Liniger and Nevanlinna has clear advantages in complex, stiff numerical simulations: unconditional *G*-stability for variable time-steps and second-order accuracy. Yet it has been underutilized due, partially, to its complexity of direct implementation. We prove herein that this method is equivalent to the backward Euler method with pre- and post arithmetic steps added. This refactorization eases implementation in complex, possibly legacy codes. The realization we develop reduces complexity, including cognitive complexity and increases accuracy over both first order methods and constant time steps second order methods.

1. Introduction. Numerical methods for evolution equations are designed based on accuracy and stability. The theory of both is highly developed for constant time step and linear problems. Less is known for variable time steps and nonlinear problems. These cases are subtle. For example, for increasing time steps, the BDF2 method loses A-stability and suffers non-physical energy growth in the approximate solution [1]. Even the trapezoidal method is unstable when used with variable time steps, see e.g. [2], [3, pp. 181-182]. Dahlquist, Liniger and Nevanlinna in [2] proposed a one parameter δ -family of variable-step, one-leg, two-step methods (DLN), which are second-order accurate, and variable-step, nonlinearly, long-time stable. Its detailed specification (given in Section 2), is sufficiently Gordian to deter its use in complex applications, in which a method with DLN's excellent properties should be valued. Our preliminary work on adaptive time-stepping for flow problems [4, 5] shows that (DLN) has promise, motivating the work herein.

Refactorization generally means restructuring of an existing algorithm without changing its behaviour. The goal of refactorization is to reduce complexity by creating a simple and clean logical structure, improving implementation, code readability, source maintainability and extensibility. Herein we show how (DLN) can be refactorized to be easily implemented in an intricate, possibly legacy/black-box code, without modifying the 'assemble and solve' portion. While our refactorization can work for other base methods, to fix ideas for y' = f(t, y), we consider a method based on the fully implicit Euler method

$$\frac{y^{\text{new}} - y^{\text{old}}}{t^{\text{new}} - t^{\text{old}}} = f(t^{\text{new}}, y^{\text{new}}).$$
(BE)

Figure 2.1 illustrates the implementation of the (DLN) method in Algorithm 1, by adding a pre-filter step to the data ahead of the nonlinear solver (BE), and a poster-filter step after the solver (BE). This algorithmic idea is our first contribution. In Section 3 we prove a new expression for the local truncation error, which simplifies the time-adaptive implementation of (DLN), and also recall its variable step G-stability property.

2. The DLN method and its refactorization. We consider a numerical approximation of the initial value problem

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \qquad y(0) = y_0.$$
 (2.1)

at times $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, with the time step $k_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$. To present the method of [2], let $\varepsilon_n = (k_n - k_{n-1})/(k_n + k_{n-1})$ denote the step size variability and $\delta \in [0, 1]$ be an arbitrary parameter. The (DLN) method is a 2-step method with coefficients:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\delta+1) \\ -\delta \\ \frac{1}{2}(\delta-1) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \beta_2^{(n)} \\ \beta_1^{(n)} \\ \beta_0^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1-\delta^2}{(1+\epsilon_n\delta)^2} + \epsilon_n^2 \frac{\delta(1-\delta^2)}{(1+\epsilon_n\delta)^2} + \delta\right) \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1-\delta^2}{(1+\epsilon_n\delta)^2}\right) \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1-\delta^2}{(1+\epsilon_n\delta)^2} - \epsilon_n^2 \frac{\delta(1-\delta^2)}{(1+\epsilon_n\delta)^2} - \delta\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.2)

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA. Email: wjl@pitt.edu. The research herein was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1817542.

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA. Email: wep17@pitt.edu. The research herein was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1817542.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA. Email: trenchea@pitt.edu.

Note that $\{\alpha_{\ell}\}_{\ell=0}^2$ are step size independent, while $\{\beta_{\ell}^{(n)}\}_{\ell=0}^2$ are step size dependent. Define the average step size \hat{k}_n as follows:

$$\widehat{k}_n = \alpha_2 k_n - \alpha_0 k_{n-1} = \delta \frac{k_n - k_{n-1}}{2} + \frac{k_n + k_{n-1}}{2}.$$
(2.3)

The variable step DLN method of [2] as a one-leg¹ method is

$$\frac{\alpha_2 y_{n+1} + \alpha_1 y_n + \alpha_0 y_{n-1}}{\hat{k}_n} = f \Big(\beta_2^{(n)} t_{n+1} + \beta_1^{(n)} t_n + \beta_0^{(n)} t_{n-1}, \beta_2^{(n)} y_{n+1} + \beta_1^{(n)} y_n + \beta_0^{(n)} y_{n-1} \Big).$$
(DLN)

REMARK 1. The (DLN) methods are indexed by the free parameter $\delta \in [0, 1]$. When $\delta = 1$, the (DLN) method becomes the (implicit) midpoint rule [7,8]

$$\frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{k_n} = f\left(\frac{1}{2}(t_{n+1} + t_n), \frac{1}{2}(y_{n+1} + y_n)\right),$$
 (one-step midpoint)

while for $\delta = 0$, the (DLN) method is the (implicit) midpoint rule with double time step

$$\frac{y_{n+1} - y_{n-1}}{k_n + k_{n-1}} = f\left(\frac{1}{2}(t_{n+1} + t_{n-1}), \frac{1}{2}(y_{n+1} + y_{n-1})\right).$$
 (two-step midpoint)

The value $\delta = \frac{2}{3}$ was chosen by Dahlquist, Liniger and Nevanlina in [2], in order to minimize the error constant in the local truncation error (LTE) and to preserve good stability properties.

The value $\delta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$ was suggested by Kulikov and Shindin in [9] to provide the best stability at infinity, a property close to the L-stability of Ehle [10, 11], and computed by minimizing the spectral radius of the companion matrix [12].

To reduce the complexity of implementing (DLN), we consider its implementation through pre- and postprocesses of an implicit (backward) Euler method, described in Algorithm 1, and illustrated in Figure 2.1.

Input: y_n, y_{n-1} and t_{n-1}, t_n, t_{n+1} ; // Pre-process : interpolation // Evaluate quantities in (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2} &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta+1), \quad \alpha_{1} = -\delta, \quad \alpha_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta-1), \\ \beta_{2}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1-\delta^{2}}{(1+\epsilon_{n}\delta)^{2}} + \epsilon_{n}^{2}\frac{\delta(1-\delta^{2})}{(1+\epsilon_{n}\delta)^{2}} + \delta\right), \\ \beta_{1}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1-\delta^{2}}{(1+\epsilon_{n}\delta)^{2}}\right), \quad \beta_{0}^{(n)} = 1 - \beta_{2}^{(n)} - \beta_{1}^{(n)}, \quad \hat{k}_{n} = \alpha_{2}k_{n} - \alpha_{0}k_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

// Define the refactorization coefficients

$$\begin{cases} a_1^{(n)} = \beta_1^{(n)} - \frac{\alpha_1 \beta_2^{(n)}}{\alpha_2}, & a_0^{(n)} = 1 - a_1^{(n)}, & b^{(n)} = \frac{\beta_2^{(n)}}{\alpha_2}, \\ c_2^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\beta_2^{(n)}}, & c_1^{(n)} = -\frac{\beta_1^{(n)}}{\beta_2^{(n)}}, & c_0^{(n)} = -\frac{\beta_0^{(n)}}{\beta_2^{(n)}}. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

// Evaluate the time-step for BE

 $y_{n+1} \Leftarrow c_2^{(n)} y^{\text{new}} + c_1^{(n)} y_n + c_0^{(n)} y_{n-1}$; **Output:** y_{n+1} , If desired: Estimate Error and adapt k_n

// the DLN solution

Algorithm 1: Refactorization of the (DLN) method

¹The 'one-leg' term was coined by Dahlquist in 1975 [6] to name the multistep methods which involve only one value of f in each step. In particular, the leapfrog and BDF methods are one-leg multistep methods.



FIG. 2.1. Refactorization of the (DLN) method as a pre- and post-processed (BE) method

Since $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$, $\beta_0^{(n)} + \beta_1^{(n)} + \beta_2^{(n)} = 1$, the coefficients $a_i^{(n)}, b^{(n)}, c_i^{(n)}$ satisfy $a_0^{(n)} + a_1^{(n)} = 1, c_2^{(n)} + c_1^{(n)} + c_0^{(n)} = 1$.

THEOREM 2.1. Algorithm (1) is equivalent to the (DLN) method. *Proof.* First using the notations (2.4), the post-processing step writes

$$y^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{c_2^{(n)}} y_{n+1} - \frac{c_1^{(n)}}{c_2^{(n)}} y_n - \frac{c_0^{(n)}}{c_2^{(n)}} y_{n-1} = \beta_2^{(n)} y_{n+1} + \beta_1^{(n)} y_n + \beta_0^{(n)} y_{n-1} + \beta_0^{$$

Using also the pre-processing relations, the backward Euler step in Algorithm 1

$$\frac{y^{\text{new}} - y^{\text{old}}}{(\Delta t)_{BE}^{BE}} = f\left(t^{\text{new}}, y^{\text{new}}\right)$$
(DLN2BE)

translates to

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\hat{k}_n} \left(\frac{1}{b^{(n)} c_2^{(n)}} y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{b^{(n)}} \left(\frac{c_1^{(n)}}{c_2^{(n)}} + a_1^{(n)} \right) y_n - \frac{1}{b^{(n)}} \left(\frac{c_0^{(n)}}{c_2^{(n)}} + a_0^{(n)} \right) y_{n-1} \right) \\ &= f \left(\beta_2^{(n)} t_{n+1} + \beta_1^{(n)} t_n + \beta_0^{(n)} t_{n-1}, \beta_2^{(n)} y_{n+1} + \beta_1^{(n)} y_n + \beta_0^{(n)} y_{n-1} \right). \end{split}$$

Finally, by (2.4), this shows that the backward-Euler based Algorithm 1 yields the solution of the (DLN) method.

2.1. Related Work. The (DLN) method is variable-step *G*-stable outgrowth of a method of Liniger [13], which is non-autonomous *A*-stable (i.e. for $y' = \lambda(t)y$). The pre- and post-process steps in the Algorithm 1 are akin to time filters, highly developed as numerical methods in atmospheric science [14–18]. Recently it was noticed in [19] that this technique for adding stability can also increase accuracy. The idea of prefilter \rightarrow simple method \rightarrow postfilter was developed in a different direction for constant time steps in [20].

The refactorization of an algorithm to reduce its cognitive complexity has been used in [7] to rearrange a family of one-leg one-step methods into a backward Euler code followed by post-processing, and further applied for partitioning multi-physics problems [8, 21–23]. In [24], the authors describe the implementation of the (DLN) formulas in a Nordsieck formulation [25, 26] essentially identical to that of the backward differentiation formulas, facilitating to adapt Nordsieck formulation codes like DIFSUB [27, 28] to the (DLN) formulas.

3. Convergence analysis of (DLN). While stability and consistency were already addressed in [2], we present complementary details on both which are useful for developing an adaptive (DLN) method.

3.1. Consistency error. In [9, 29], the variable time-step (DLN) method was implemented in an adaptive manner, using a local and global error estimator. Similar to [2], the authors of [6,9,24,29] use the classical definition of the local truncation error

$$\mathscr{L}_1(y(t), t_{n+1}, k_n) = \frac{1}{\widehat{k}_n} \sum_{\ell=0}^2 \alpha_\ell y(t_{n-1+\ell}) - f\left(t_{n,\beta}, \beta_2^{(n)} y(t_{n+1}) + \beta_1^{(n)} y(t_n) + \beta_0^{(n)} y(t_{n-1})\right),$$

where $t_{n,\beta} = \beta_2^{(n)} t_{n+1} + \beta_1^{(n)} t_n + \beta_0^{(n)} t_{n-1}$. The above definition follows the approach taken in the analysis of linear multistep methods (see e.g. [30, page 27]), and involves both the differentiation defect $\mathscr{L}_d = \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2} \alpha_{\ell} y(t_{n-1+\ell}) - \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2} \alpha_{\ell} y(t_{n-1$

 $f(t_{n,\beta}, y(t_{n,\beta}))$, and the interpolation defect $\mathscr{L}_i = f(t_{n,\beta}, y(t_{n,\beta})) - f(t_{n,\beta}, \sum_{\ell=0}^2 \beta_\ell^{(n)} y(t_{n-1+\ell}))$. Dahlquist raised in [31] the question of the appropriateness of this viewpoint: "We accept this definition, but we do not accept \mathscr{L}_1 as the adequate local truncation error!"

Using the refactorized form (DLN2BE) and Theorem 2.1, we now prove that the local truncation error of the one-leg (DLN) method can be evaluated only by the differentiation defect (LTE), similarly to the midpoint rule [7] and the Runge-Kutta methods. The new expression (LTE) simplifies greatly the error estimation.

PROPOSITION 3.1. The local truncation error of (DLN) is the differentiation error and

$$\mathscr{L}_{d}(\mathbf{y}(t), t_{n+1}, k_{n}) \approx \frac{\mathbf{y}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}(t_{n})}{2} \Big[\frac{1}{3\hat{k}_{n}} \left(k_{n}^{3} - \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{2}} k_{n-1}^{3} \right) - \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} \left(\beta_{2}^{(n)} k_{n} - \beta_{0}^{(n)} k_{n-1} \right)^{2} \Big].$$
(LTE)

Proof. The consistency order and the coefficient of the leading term in (LTE) follow by Taylor expansions. On one hand, since (DLN) can be refactorized as the one-step method (DLN2BE), we further write the (DLN) method as follows

$$\frac{\alpha_2 y_{n+1} + \alpha_1 y_n + \alpha_0 y_{n-1}}{\hat{k}_n} = f\left(t^{\text{new}}, y^{\text{new}}\right).$$
(3.1)

On the other hand, when we integrate (2.1) on $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$ and on $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$, multiply the results by $\frac{1-\delta}{2}$ and $\frac{1+\delta}{2}$, respectively, and add, we obtain

$$\frac{1+\delta}{2}y(t_{n+1}) - \delta y(t_n) - \frac{1-\delta}{2}y(t_{n-1}) = \frac{1-\delta}{2}\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} f(t,y(t))\,dt + \frac{1+\delta}{2}\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(t,y(t))\,dt.$$

Finally, approximating both integrals in the right hand-side with the chord quadrature rule, with t^{new} as the point of evaluation on both intervals, gives

$$\frac{1+\delta}{2}y(t_{n+1}) - \delta y(t_n) - \frac{1-\delta}{2}y(t_{n-1}) \approx \left(\frac{1+\delta}{2}(t_{n+1} - t_n) - \frac{\delta - 1}{2}(t_n - t_{n-1})\right) f(t^{\text{new}}, y(t^{\text{new}})).$$

which by (2.2)-(2.3) yields the (3.1) method. \Box

REMARK 2. In particular, for $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 0$, from (LTE) we have that

$$\mathscr{L}^{\text{(one-step midpoint)}} \approx \frac{1}{24} k_n^3 y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t_n), \qquad \mathscr{L}^{\text{(two-step midpoint)}} \approx \frac{1}{24} \left(k_n + k_{n-1}\right)^3 y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t_n).$$

3.2. G-stability. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the inner product and ℓ^2 -norm in Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^d . For any pair of solutions u(t), v(t) of (2.1), a necessary and sufficient condition [32, page 384] for $\|u(t) - v(t)\|$ to be a non-increasing function of *t* is the *contractivity* (one-sided Lipschitz) condition on *f*:

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle f(t,u) - f(t,v), u - v \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$
 (contractivity)

The system (2.1) for which *f* satisfies the (contractivity) condition is called *dissipative*, see e.g. the Definition in [33, page 268]. We recall that a Runge-Kutta method is B-stable, if the (contractivity) condition implies $||y_{n+1} - z_{n+1}|| \le ||y_n - z_n||$ for any $\{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ numerical solutions, see e.g. [34, page 359], or Definition 12.2 in [12]. Similarly, a 2-step linear multistep method is called *G*-stable [12, 32, 35, 36] if there exists a real positive definite matrix *G* such that its one-leg version is contractive, namely $||Y_{n+1} - Z_{n+1}||_G \le ||Y_n - Z_n||_G$, where $Y_n = [y_n^t, y_{n-1}^t]^t$. In the case of the (DLN) method, there exists such a positive definite matrix (independent of the step size)

$$G(oldsymbol{\delta}) := egin{bmatrix} rac{1}{4}(1+oldsymbol{\delta})\mathbb{I}_d & 0 \ 0 & rac{1}{4}(1-oldsymbol{\delta})\mathbb{I}_d \end{bmatrix}, \qquad orall oldsymbol{\delta} \in [0,1].$$

As pointed out by Dahlquist in [37], both *B*-stability and *G*-stability imply *A*-stability, and *A*-stability implies *G*-stability for constant time steps.

PROPOSITION 3.2. The (DLN) method is unconditionally G-stable, and

$$\left\langle \sum_{\ell=0}^{2} \alpha_{\ell} y_{n-1+\ell}, \sum_{\ell=0}^{2} \beta_{\ell}^{(n)} y_{n-1+\ell} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} = \left\| \frac{y_{n+1}}{y_{n}} \right\|_{G(\delta)}^{2} - \left\| \frac{y_{n}}{y_{n-1}} \right\|_{G(\delta)}^{2} + \left\| \sum_{\ell=0}^{2} \gamma_{\ell}^{(n)} y_{n-1+\ell} \right\|_{C(\delta)}^{2}$$
(3.2)

where the γ -coefficients are $\gamma_1^{(n)} = -\frac{\sqrt{\delta(1-\delta^2)}}{\sqrt{2}(1+\varepsilon_n\delta)}$, $\gamma_2^{(n)} = -\frac{1-\varepsilon_n}{2}\gamma_1^{(n)}$, $\gamma_0^{(n)} = -\frac{1+\varepsilon_n}{2}\gamma_1^{(n)}$. The 'energy' identity (3.2), implicit in [2], follows from algebraic manipulations, see e.g. [4]. The *G*-stability of (DLN), i.e. $||Y_{n+1} - Z_{n+1}||_{G(\delta)} \le ||Y_n - Z_n||_{G(\delta)}$, follows from (3.2) and the (contractivity) assumption. The only (DLN) methods which yield the ℓ^2 invariance of the solution are the symplectic (one-step midpoint) and (two-step midpoint) rules: the numerical dissipation $\left\|\sum_{\ell=0}^2 \gamma_\ell^{(n)} y_{n-1+\ell}\right\|$ vanishes if and only if $\delta \in \{0,1\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. D. Grigorieff, Stability of multistep-methods on variable grids, Numer. Math. 42 (3) (1983) 359–377. doi:10.1007/BF01389580. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389580
- [2] G. G. Dahlquist, W. Liniger, O. Nevanlinna, Stability of two-step methods for variable integration steps, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 20 (5) (1983) 1071-1085. doi:10.1137/0720076. URL https://doi.org/10.1137/0720076
- [3] H. J. Stetter, Analysis of discretization methods for ordinary differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Vol. 23.
- W. Layton, W. Pei, Y. Qin, C. Trenchea, Analysis of the variable step method of Dahlquist, Liniger and Nevanlinna for fluid flow, Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations (2021). URL https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22831
- Y. Qin, Y. Hou, W. Pei, J. Li, A variable time-stepping algorithm for the unsteady Stokes/Darcy model, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 394 (2021) 113521, 14. doi:10.1016/j.cam.2021.113521.
 - URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1016/j.cam.2021.113521
- [6] G. Dahlquist, Error analysis for a class of methods for stiff non-linear initial value problems, in: Numerical analysis (Proc. 6th Biennial Dundee Conf., Univ. Dundee, Dundee, 1975), 1976, pp. 60–72. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 506.
- [7] J. Burkardt, C. Trenchea, Refactorization of the midpoint rule, Appl. Math. Lett. 107 (2020) 106438. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2020.
 106438.
 - URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.106438
- [8] M. Bukač, A. Seboldt, C. Trenchea, Refactorization of Cauchy's Method: A Second-Order Partitioned Method for Fluid-Thick Structure Interaction Problems, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 23 (3) (2021) 64. doi:10.1007/s00021-021-00593-z. URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1007/s00021-021-00593-z
- [9] G. Y. Kulikov, S. K. Shindin, One-leg integration of ordinary differential equations with global error control, Comput. Methods Appl. Math. 5 (1) (2005) 86-96. doi:10.2478/cmam-2005-0004. URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.2478/cmam-2005-0004
- [10] B. L. Ehle, On Padé approximations to the exponential function and a-stable methods for the numerical solution of initial value problems, Tech. rep., CSRR 2010, Dept. AACS, Univ. of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada (1969).

- [11] J. C. Butcher, Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations, 3rd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2016, with a foreword by J. M. Sanz-Serna. doi:10.1002/9781119121534. URL https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119121534
- [12] E. Hairer, G. Wanner, Solving ordinary differential equations. II, Vol. 14 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010, stiff and differential-algebraic problems, Second revised edition. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-05221-7. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-05221-7
- [13] W. Liniger, The A-contractive second-order multistep formulas with variable steps, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 20 (6) (1983) 1231–1238. doi:10.1137/0720093.

URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1137/0720093

[14] R. Asselin, Frequency filter for time integrations, Mon. Wea. Rev. 100 (6) (1972) 487–490. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1972) 100<0487:FFFTI>2.3.C0;2.

URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1972)100<0487:FFFTI>2.3.C0;2

- [15] A. J. Robert, The integration of a spectral model of the atmosphere by the implicit method, Proc. WMO-IUGG Symp. on NWP, Tokyo, Japan Meteorological Agency (VII) (1969) 19–24.
- [16] P. D. Williams, Achieving seventh-order amplitude accuracy in leapfrog integrations, Mon. Wea. Rev. 141 (2013) 3037–3051. doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-12-00303.1.
- URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00303.1 [17] Y. Li, C. Trenchea, A higher-order Robert-Asselin type time filter, J. Comput. Phys. 259 (2014) 23-32. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2013.

11.022.

- URL http://dx.doi.org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2013.11.022
- [18] A. Guzel, C. Trenchea, The Williams step increases the stability and accuracy of the hoRA time filter, Appl. Numer. Math. 131 (2018) 158–173. doi:10.1016/j.apnum.2018.05.003.
 - URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2018.05.003
- [19] A. Guzel, W. Layton, Time filters increase accuracy of the fully implicit method, BIT 58 (2) (2018) 301-315. doi:10.1007/ s10543-018-0695-z.
 - URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1007/s10543-018-0695-z
- [20] V. DeCaria, S. Gottlieb, Z. J. Grant, W. J. Layton, A general linear method approach to the design and optimization of efficient, accurate, and easily implemented time-stepping methods in cfd (2020). arXiv:2010.06360.
- [21] M. Bukač, C. Trenchea, Boundary update via resolvent for fluid-structure interaction, J. Numer. Math. 29 (1) (2021) 1-22. doi:10. 1515/jnma-2019-0081.
 - URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1515/jnma-2019-0081
- [22] C. Trenchea, Partitioned conservative, variable step, second-order method for magneto-hydrodynamics in Elsässer variables, ROMAI J. 15 (2) (2019) 117–137.
- [23] M. Bukač, C. Trenchea, Adaptive, second-order, unconditionally stable partitioned method for fluid-structure interaction, Tech. rep., University of Pittsburgh (2021).
- URL https://www.mathematics.pitt.edu/sites/default/files/2021_variableDtFSIthin_technicalreport.pdf
- [24] D. S. Watanabe, Q. M. Sheikh, One-leg formulas for stiff ordinary differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput. 5 (2) (1984) 489–496. doi:10.1137/0905036. URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1137/0905036
- [25] A. Nordsieck, On numerical integration of ordinary differential equations, Math. Comp. 16 (1962) 22–49. doi:10.2307/2003809. URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.2307/2003809
- [26] R. D. Skeel, Equivalent forms of multistep formulas, Math. Comp. 33 (148) (1979) 1229–1250. doi:10.2307/2006457. URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.2307/2006457
- [27] C. W. Gear, Numerical initial value problems in ordinary differential equations, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1971.
- [28] C. W. Gear, Algorithm 407: Difsub for solution of ordinary differential equations [d2], Communications of the ACM 14 (3) (1971) 185-190. doi:10.1145/362566.362573.
- [29] G. Y. Kulikov, S. K. Shindin, One-leg variable-coefficient formulas for ordinary differential equations and local-global step size control, Numer. Algorithms 43 (1) (2006) 99–121. doi:10.1007/s11075-006-9043-5. URL https://doi-org.pitt.idm.oclc.org/10.1007/s11075-006-9043-5
- [30] J. D. Lambert, Computational methods in ordinary differential equations, John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1973, Introductory Mathematics for Scientists and Engineers.
- [31] G. G. Dahlquist, On one-leg multistep methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 20 (6) (1983) 1130–1138. doi:10.1137/0720082. URL https://doi.org/10.1137/0720082
- [32] G. G. Dahlquist, G-stability is equivalent to A-stability, BIT 18 (4) (1978) 384–401. doi:10.1007/BF01932018. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01932018
- [33] J. D. Lambert, Numerical methods for ordinary differential systems, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1991, The initial value problem.
- [34] J. C. Butcher, A stability property of implicit Runge-Kutta methods, BIT Numerical Mathematics 15 (4) (1975) 358-361. doi:10.1007/ BF01931672. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01931672
- [35] G. G. Dahlquist, On the relation of G-stability to other stability concepts for linear multistep methods, Dept. of Comp. Sci. Roy. Inst. of Technology Report TRITA-NA-7621 (1976). URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/1069154/files/CM-P00069426.pdf
- [36] G. G. Dahlquist, Positive functions and some applications to stability questions for numerical methods, in: Recent advances in numerical analysis (Proc. Sympos., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1978), Vol. 41 of Publ. Math. Res. Center Univ. Wisconsin, Academic Press, New York-London, 1978, pp. 1–29.
- [37] G. G. Dahlquist, On stability and error analysis for stiff non-linear problems, Part I, Dept. of Comp. Sci. Roy. Inst. of Technology Report TRITA-NA-7508 (1975).